

#3

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicant:

David SWISA

Serial No.: 09/761,153

Filed: January 17, 2001

For: DEVICE AND METHOD DETECTING
THE FLOW OF GAS

Examiner:

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§



Group Art Unit:

Attorney
Docket: 00/21263

Director of the Patent and Trademark Office
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTENTION: Ms. Haywood
Phone: 703-308-9632

RESPONSE

This is in response to the telephonic message of September 3, 2002, in which you advised us that a Response to the Office Action mailed February 28, 2002, was never received by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

In fact a complete Response was filed on April 25, 2002, as evidenced by the Mail Room stamp on the attached postcard receipt.

A duplicate of the Response filed on April 25, 2002, is attached herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

Sol Sheinbein
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 25,457

Date: September 5, 2002

09761153-000500

A3

§ § § § §

SEP 05 2002
JCI
PATEM & TRADEMARK OFFICE

[illegible]

Group Art Unit:

Attorney
Docket: 00/21263

§ § § § §

[illegible]

Figure 1 is a schematic representation of the experimental design. It shows a vertical timeline of events for two groups: 'Control' and 'Experimental'. The timeline starts with 'Baseline' and ends with 'Post-test'. Key events include 'Pre-test', 'Training', 'Post-training', 'Post-test', and 'Follow-up'. The 'Control' group is represented by a solid line, and the 'Experimental' group is represented by a dashed line. The 'Experimental' group shows a significant decrease in 'Pain threshold' and 'Pain tolerance' during the 'Training' phase, which is maintained through the 'Post-training' and 'Post-test' phases. The 'Control' group shows no significant changes in these measures. The 'Follow-up' phase shows a return to baseline for both groups.

This is in response to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Action mailed February 28, 2001, which response is being made before April 28, 2001 and for which no extension fee is due.

By said Action, attached herewith, the Examiner required drawings that complied with margin requirements. Applicant hereby complies with that requirement with the attached five sheets of drawings.

Respectfully submitted,


Ed. Becker

Sol Sheinbein
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 25,457

Date: April 25, 2001



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Page 1 of 1

#3

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D C 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING/RECEIPT DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER
09/761,153	01/17/2001	David Swisa	00/21263

CONFIRMATION NO. 3699

FORMALITIES LETTER



OC00000005806617

G. E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.
c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA
SUITE 207
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

Date Mailed: 02/28/2001

NOTICE TO FILE CORRECTED APPLICATION PAPERS

Filing Date Granted

This application has been accorded an Application Number and Filing Date. The application, however, is informal since it does not comply with the regulations for the reason(s) indicated below. Applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the date of this Notice within which to correct the informalities indicated below. Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)

The required item(s) identified below must be timely submitted to avoid abandonment:

- Substitute drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 because:
 - drawing sheets do not have the appropriate margin(s) (see 37 CFR 1.84(g)). Each sheet must include a top margin of at least 2.5 cm. (1 inch), a left side margin of at least 2.5 cm. (1 inch), a right side margin of at least 1.5 cm. (5/8 inch), and a bottom margin of at least 1.0 cm. (3/8 inch);

A copy of this notice MUST be returned with the reply.

Customer Service Center

Initial Patent Examination Division (703) 308-1202

PART 2 - COPY TO BE RETURNED WITH RESPONSE

09761153-0000502